

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 3362 of 1991****For Approval and Signature:****HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE AKIL KURESHI**

=====

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed
to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy
of the judgment ?

Whether this case involves a substantial question
of law as to the interpretation of the

4 constitution of India, 1950 or any order made
thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge
?

=====

SECRETARY - Petitioner(s)
Versus
MANAGING DIRECTOR & 2 - Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance :

MR YV SHAH for Petitioner(s) : 1,
MR HARIN P RAVAL for Respondent(s) : 1,
MR HS MUNSHAW for Respondent(s) : 2,
MR SIRAJ GORI APG for Respondent(s) : 3,

=====

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE AKIL KURESHI**Date : 07/12/2005****ORAL JUDGMENT**

Learned advocate for the petitioner-Kodinar Nagar
Panchayat submits that the Development Commissioner has

already passed an order on 27/3/1989 whereby the said authorities has fixed lum-sum contribution to be paid by the respondent no.1 in lieu of octroi liability. He submits that despite this order being passed the respondent no.1 has not paid the amount as fixed by the Development Commissioner. While disposing of this petition, it is expected that respondent no.1 shall discharge the statutory liabilities, failing which, it will be open for the petitioner to recover the same in accordance with law. With these observations, the petition is disposed of. Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.

(Akil Kureshi, J.)

smiata/